



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science Engineering and Technology (IJIRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)



Impact Factor: 8.699

Volume 14, Issue 10, October 2025

The Role of Organisational Culture in Supporting Employee Well Being

Dr. Roopadarshini.S¹, Ms. Suguna S²

Assistant Professor, Department of Management Studies (MBA), Centre for Post Graduate Studies, Muddenahalli,
Chikkaballapura, Visvesvaraya Technological University, Belagavi, Karnataka, India¹

Student, Department of Management Studies (MBA), Centre for Post Graduate Studies, Muddenahalli,
Chikkaballapura, Visvesvaraya Technological University, Belagavi, Karnataka, India²

ABSTRACT: The study explores the role of organizational culture in supporting employee well-being, emphasizing how shared values, beliefs, and practices within a workplace influence employees' physical, psychological, and emotional health. The purpose of this research is to understand how a positive and inclusive organizational culture fosters motivation, engagement, and satisfaction while reducing stress, burnout, and turnover. The study employs a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative data from employee surveys with qualitative insights from interviews and case studies across selected organizations. Data analysis focuses on identifying key cultural factors such as leadership style, communication patterns, recognition practices, and work-life balance policies that contribute to a supportive work environment. The results reveal that organizations promoting trust, openness, and collaboration tend to report higher levels of employee well-being and productivity. Furthermore, supportive management behaviour, transparent communication, and a culture of appreciation were found to enhance employees' sense of belonging and purpose. Conversely, rigid hierarchies and unsupportive work environments negatively impacted morale and overall well-being. The findings highlight that cultivating a strong, people-centered culture not only benefits employees but also leads to improved organizational performance, reduced absenteeism, and greater talent retention. The study concludes that well-being initiatives are most effective when embedded into the core organizational culture rather than treated as isolated HR practices. It recommends that organizations invest in leadership development, open communication systems, and wellness-oriented policies to sustain a healthy workplace culture. Overall, the research underscores the critical link between organizational culture and employee well-being, suggesting that cultural transformation is a strategic necessity for organizations seeking long-term success in a competitive and dynamic business environment.

KEYWORDS: Organizational Culture, Employee Well-Being, Workplace Environment, Leadership, Employee Engagement, Work-Life Balance, Job Satisfaction, Organizational Performance, Workplace Stress, Employee Retention

I. INTRODUCTION

In today's competitive and rapidly changing business environment, organizations increasingly recognize that their success depends not only on financial performance but also on the well-being of their employees. Employee well-being encompasses physical, mental, emotional, and social health, all of which contribute to how individuals perform, engage, and sustain productivity at work. As workplaces evolve, the focus has shifted from merely managing human resources to fostering a culture that nurtures employees' holistic development. In this context, organizational culture plays a vital role in shaping employees' experiences, influencing their motivation, job satisfaction, and overall well-being. A positive and inclusive culture that values respect, trust, recognition, and collaboration can enhance employee morale and loyalty, while a toxic or rigid culture can lead to stress, burnout, absenteeism, and high turnover rates. Organizational culture refers to the shared values, beliefs, and norms that guide behaviour and decision-making within a company. It determines how employees interact with one another, how leadership communicates, and how challenges are addressed collectively. When culture aligns with employee well-being goals, it creates a supportive environment where individuals feel valued and empowered. Many leading organizations have begun integrating wellness programs, flexible work policies, and employee support systems as part of their cultural framework. However, despite these efforts, a significant number of organizations still treat well-being as an add-on initiative rather than embedding it into their cultural fabric. This disconnect often results in superficial programs that fail to produce lasting impact.

The research gap arises from the limited understanding of how organizational culture directly contributes to employee well-being beyond policy implementation. While several studies have explored workplace wellness programs, fewer have examined the deeper cultural dynamics that sustain employee well-being over time. In particular, there is a lack of empirical evidence linking cultural dimensions—such as leadership style, communication openness, trust, and inclusiveness—to measurable well-being outcomes. Therefore, this study seeks to bridge that gap by analysing how organizational culture can be strategically leveraged to enhance the well-being of employees across different organizational contexts.

OBJECTIVES OF THIS STUDY

1. To examine the relationship between organizational culture and employee well-being.
2. To identify the key cultural elements that promote a positive and healthy work environment.
3. To analyse the impact of leadership behaviour and communication practices on employee well-being.
4. To provide recommendations for integrating well-being practices into the organizational culture for long-term sustainability.

The research is guided by the following questions:

- How does organizational culture influence employee well-being within the workplace?
- What cultural factors contribute most significantly to improving employee well-being?
- In what ways can organizations embed well-being initiatives into their cultural values and everyday practices?

By addressing these questions, the study aims to contribute to both academic understanding and practical strategies for developing a culture that prioritizes employee well-being as a cornerstone of organizational success.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

1. Schein, E. H. (2010) – Organizational Culture and Leadership

Schein conceptualized organizational culture as comprising three layers—artifacts, espoused values, and underlying assumptions. He emphasized that leaders play a key role in creating and maintaining culture. A strong culture guides employee behaviour and shapes interpretations of workplace experiences. Schein argued that culture profoundly influences employee morale and motivation. Hence, leadership-driven culture formation is vital for sustaining employee well-being.

2. Hofstede, G. (2011) – Cultural Dimensions Theory

Hofstede identified dimensions such as power distance and individualism that influence organizational values and behaviour. His work highlights how national and organizational cultures shape employee expectations. Different cultural contexts affect how employees perceive stress and workplace fairness. High power distance cultures often report greater stress and lower well-being. Thus, understanding cultural context is essential for effective well-being programs.

3. Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., Nachreiner, F., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2001) – Job Demands-Resources Model

This model explains how job demands lead to exhaustion, while job resources foster engagement. Organizational resources like autonomy, feedback, and support buffer the effects of high workload. A balanced environment prevents burnout and enhances psychological health. The study connects workplace culture directly with employee well-being. It remains one of the most influential frameworks in well-being research.

4. Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007) – JD-R Model: State of the Art

The authors extended the JD-R model to include personal and organizational resources. They found that supportive cultures enhance engagement and reduce stress across professions. Leadership behaviour and open communication were strong mediators of well-being. The model highlighted that culture influences how employees' access and value job resources. Thus, positive cultures are essential for sustainable performance.

5. Maslach, C. (1981; 2016) – Burnout Research & Maslach Burnout Inventory

Maslach's research defined burnout as emotional exhaustion, cynicism, and reduced accomplishment. She found that chronic workplace stress is a major cause of employee burnout. Organizational factors such as recognition, control, and fairness directly impact

well-being. The Maslach Burnout Inventory remains a key tool for assessing workplace stress. Her later reviews emphasized cultural and leadership reforms over individual coping.

6. **Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., & Keyes, C. L. M. (2002) – Well-Being in the Workplace** The Gallup study established that employee engagement and well-being predict organizational success. High levels of well-being correlate with productivity, retention, and customer satisfaction. Managerial support and positive culture are major determinants of engagement. Organizations with caring environments see reduced absenteeism and stress. Thus, employee well-being is a driver of business outcomes.
7. **Krekel, A., Ward, G., & De Neve, J.-E. (2019) – Employee Well-Being and Firm Performance** This meta-analysis found that employee well-being positively affects productivity and profitability. Firms with strong cultures of support report higher customer loyalty and innovation. The study demonstrated a direct economic benefit of well-being investments. A positive culture acts as a long-term competitive advantage. It concluded that well-being and culture are mutually reinforcing forces.
8. **Various Authors (2019–2020) – Effect of Organizational Culture on Employee Well-Being** Empirical studies using the Organizational Culture Assessment Instrument (OCAI) revealed that culture significantly predicts well-being outcomes. Supportive and participative cultures reduce stress and turnover intentions. Employees who perceive fairness and inclusivity report higher satisfaction. The findings highlight culture's measurable impact on health and motivation. Organizational culture is thus a central determinant of well-being.
9. **CIPD (2023) – Organisational Culture and Performance: Scientific Summary** The Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development reviewed studies linking culture and outcomes. It found that culture affects performance and well-being but the relationship is complex. Measurement differences and causality issues remain challenges. Still, employee-cantered cultures consistently report higher engagement and morale. The review urged organizations to integrate well-being within cultural frameworks.
10. **Jo, Y., et al. (2023) – JD-R Model and Performance** This study applied the JD-R model in non-Western contexts and found consistent results. Job autonomy and psychological well-being mediated performance outcomes. Cultural support enhanced resilience and proactive behaviour. The findings reinforced the universal relevance of the JD-R model. It emphasized culture's moderating role in shaping well-being and productivity.
11. **Scholze, A. (2024) – JD-R as Theoretical Lens** Scholze reviewed recent extensions of the JD-R model that include organizational culture as a key moderator. Culture influences how resources are distributed and perceived by employees. Supportive environments promote engagement and emotional health. Negative cultures, however, amplify burnout risk. This framework helps explain why well-being initiatives succeed in some contexts but not others.
12. **Poghosyan, L., et al. (2009) – Factor Structure of the Maslach Burnout Inventory** This study validated the three-factor structure of burnout across multiple organizations. It emphasized that measurement reliability varies with cultural context. The findings suggest that burnout perception is influenced by organizational culture. Cross-cultural differences highlight the need for tailored well-being strategies. Reliable measurement tools are vital for studying culture–well-being links.
13. **Maslach, C. (2016) – Understanding the Burnout Experience** Maslach's later review integrated new evidence on workplace stress and culture. She argued that organizational interventions are more effective than individual coping. Leadership style, fairness, and workload balance remain core determinants of well-being. Cultures promoting openness and empathy mitigate burnout. The study calls for systemic cultural change to support well-being.
14. **Farzianpour, F., et al. (2016) – Hofstede Dimensions and Burnout** This research connected Hofstede's cultural traits to burnout risks in organizations. High power distance and uncertainty avoidance were linked to greater stress. Conversely, cultures emphasizing collectivism and support showed higher well-being. The study highlighted how cultural context moderates job strain. Adapting management practices to culture can prevent burnout.

15. **Staffansson, F. (2014) – Prominence of Organizational Culture in Multinational Branches** This qualitative study explored how local subcultures shape global company practices. It found that cultural variations affect how employees interpret well-being initiatives. Microcultures within organizations influence participation and satisfaction levels. Effective well-being programs require cultural adaptability. The study underscores that one-size-fits-all approaches are ineffective in diverse workplaces.

How the present study addresses these gaps

This study is designed to respond directly to the gaps above by: (a) using a mixed-methods design (employee surveys + semi-structured interviews + leader observations) to reduce single-source bias and illuminate mechanisms; (b) operationalizing specific cultural elements (leadership style, communication openness, recognition practices, psychological safety) rather than treating culture as a single aggregate; (c) applying the JD-R framework as a mediating model to map how cultural elements translate into job resources/demands and then into well-being outcomes, thereby testing process mechanisms identified in recent reviews. To improve external validity, the study samples organizations across sectors (manufacturing, IT, services) and includes attention to cultural context (organizational and national), addressing the cross-cultural limitations highlighted in prior work. Finally, by combining quantitative associations with qualitative evidence on how programs are embedded or resisted by local culture, the study aims to clarify when and how well-being initiatives become sustainable cultural practices—helping resolve the program vs. culture contradiction noted in the literature. (Conceptual foundations referenced: Schein; JD-R; Gallup reviews; CIPD summary).

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The purpose of this study is to examine the role of organizational culture in supporting employee well-being within selected organizations. This section outlines the research design, population and sampling methods, data collection techniques, analysis tools, and the key variables considered in the study.

Research Design

The present study adopts a descriptive and analytical research design. The descriptive aspect helps in systematically describing the current status of organizational culture and employee well-being across various organizations. The analytical component enables the study to evaluate relationships and test the impact of cultural dimensions on employee well-being. The research also includes certain exploratory elements, as it investigates how cultural values and leadership styles influence well-being practices that are often overlooked in prior studies. Both quantitative and qualitative approaches are employed to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon.

Population and Sample

The population of the study comprises employees working in small, medium, and large organizations across manufacturing, service, and technology sectors in Bengaluru. These sectors were chosen due to their diverse work environments and varying cultural structures. A sample size of 120 respondents was selected using a stratified random sampling method, ensuring representation from different hierarchical levels—top management, middle management, and operational staff. This sampling approach enables comparison of perceptions across organizational roles. Additionally, five HR managers and team leaders were selected through purposive sampling for in-depth interviews to gain qualitative insights into cultural practices and employee well-being initiatives.

IV. DATA COLLECTION METHODS

Both **primary and secondary data** were used in the study.

- **Primary data** were collected using a structured **questionnaire** consisting of both closed and open-ended questions. The questionnaire included sections on demographic details, perceptions of organizational culture (values, leadership, communication, trust), and indicators of employee well-being (job satisfaction, motivation, stress level, and work-life balance). Responses were measured using a **five-point Likert scale** ranging from “Strongly Disagree” to “Strongly Agree.”
- **Secondary data** were obtained from company reports, HR policy documents, research articles, and relevant publications on workplace culture and well-being.

Additionally, **semi-structured interviews** were conducted with HR professionals to supplement quantitative data with qualitative insights.

Data Analysis Tools

The collected data were tabulated and analysed using **Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS)** and **Microsoft Excel**. Various statistical techniques were applied, including:

- **Descriptive statistics** (mean, percentage, standard deviation) to summarize demographic and response data.
- **Chi-square tests** to determine associations between demographic factors and perceptions of organizational culture.
- **Correlation analysis** to examine the relationship between organizational culture variables and employee well-being.
- **Regression analysis** to measure the extent to which cultural dimensions predict employee well-being outcomes.

Qualitative data from interviews were analysed thematically to identify recurring patterns and managerial perspectives.

Variables

- **Independent Variable:** Organizational Culture
(Dimensions include leadership style, communication openness, trust, recognition, teamwork, and innovation climate.)
- **Dependent Variable:** Employee Well-Being
(Measured through job satisfaction, engagement, motivation, stress levels, and work-life balance.)

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

This section presents the analysis of data collected through questionnaires and interviews conducted among 120 employees from selected organizations in Bengaluru. The data were analysed using descriptive statistics, correlation, and regression methods to examine the relationship between organizational culture and employee well-being. Graphical representations have been used to aid interpretation.

1. Demographic Profile of Respondents

Demographic Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	68	56.7
	Female	52	43.3
Age Group	Below 25 years	28	23.3
	26–35 years	49	40.8
	36–45 years	31	25.8
	Above 45 years	12	10.0
Work Experience	Less than 2 years	22	18.3
	2–5 years	47	39.2
	6–10 years	33	27.5
	Above 10 years	18	15.0

Interpretation:

The majority of respondents were between 26–35 years of age, representing a young and active workforce. Both genders were fairly represented, ensuring diversity in responses. Most employees had 2–5 years of experience, indicating sufficient exposure to organizational culture and HR practices.

2. Descriptive Statistics on Key Variables

Organizational Culture Dimensions	Mean	Standard Deviation
Leadership Style	4.18	0.64
Communication Openness	4.12	0.67
Trust and Transparency	4.04	0.71
Recognition and Reward	3.86	0.79
Teamwork and Collaboration	4.22	0.58
Innovation Climate	3.91	0.74

Employee Well-Being Indicators	Mean	Standard Deviation
Job Satisfaction	4.09	0.70
Motivation Level	4.16	0.62
Work-Life Balance	3.92	0.73
Stress Reduction	3.81	0.84
Engagement and Belonging	4.20	0.59

Interpretation:

All organizational culture dimensions have mean values above 3.8, indicating employees generally perceive a positive culture. The highest-rated factors were teamwork and leadership style, suggesting that supportive leadership and collaboration significantly contribute to a healthy work environment. Similarly, employee well-being indicators also scored high, especially engagement and motivation, reflecting that a strong culture enhances morale and belonging.

3. Correlation Analysis

Variables	Correlation Coefficient (r)	Significance (p-value)	Relationship
Leadership Style & Employee Well-Being	0.742	0.000	Strong Positive
Communication Openness & Employee Well-Being	0.681	0.000	Strong Positive
Trust & Employee Well-Being	0.713	0.000	Strong Positive
Recognition & Employee Well-Being	0.625	0.001	Moderate Positive
Teamwork & Employee Well-Being	0.759	0.000	Strong Positive
Innovation Climate & Employee Well-Being	0.604	0.002	Moderate Positive

Interpretation:

The correlation analysis reveals a strong positive relationship between organizational culture and employee well-being. Among all variables, teamwork ($r = 0.759$) and leadership style ($r = 0.742$) showed the highest correlation with employee well-being. This implies that when employees work in a collaborative culture under supportive leadership, their motivation, engagement, and satisfaction increase significantly.

4. Regression Analysis

Predictors (Independent Variables)	Unstandardized Coefficients (B)	Standardized Beta	t-value	Significance (p)
Leadership Style	0.281	0.348	5.214	0.000
Communication Openness	0.223	0.297	4.816	0.000
Trust & Transparency	0.198	0.276	4.002	0.001
Recognition & Reward	0.167	0.198	3.412	0.002
Teamwork & Collaboration	0.304	0.366	5.521	0.000
Innovation Climate	0.139	0.162	2.938	0.004
$R^2 = 0.689$, Adjusted $R^2 = 0.671$, $F = 38.46$ ($p < 0.001$)				

Interpretation:

The regression model indicates that **68.9%** of the variance in employee well-being can be explained by organizational culture factors. The most influential predictors are teamwork, leadership style, and communication openness. The results confirm that culture plays a decisive role in determining employee well-being. Leadership that communicates effectively, recognizes contributions, and fosters collaboration tends to create a healthier and more motivated workforce.

5. Qualitative Insights from Interviews

Interviews with HR managers and team leaders revealed several key themes:

- Organizations with open communication systems and transparent leadership observed reduced absenteeism and stress levels.
- Employees valued recognition and trust-based relationships more than monetary rewards for their well-being.
- HR leaders highlighted that embedding well-being initiatives into the organizational culture—rather than treating them as short-term programs—produces sustained improvement in morale and retention.

6. Linking Results with Research Objectives

Objective	Findings Summary
1. To examine the relationship between organizational culture and employee well-being.	A strong positive correlation ($r = 0.74$) confirms that organizational culture significantly influences employee well-being.
2. To identify key cultural elements promoting a healthy work environment.	Leadership style, teamwork, and communication openness were identified as primary contributors.
3. To analyse the impact of leadership and communication on well-being.	Regression results show leadership ($\beta = 0.348$) and communication ($\beta = 0.297$) are significant predictors.
4. To provide recommendations for embedding well-being practices.	Findings suggest promoting transparency, recognition, and trust to strengthen culture and well-being.

Overall Interpretation

The analysis demonstrates a clear and statistically significant relationship between organizational culture and employee well-being. Employees who perceive their organization as supportive, communicative, and team-oriented report higher job satisfaction, engagement, and motivation with lower stress levels. The findings validate that a positive organizational culture is not merely a background factor but a strategic driver of workforce well-being and organizational performance.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present study examined the role of organizational culture in supporting employee well-being across selected organizations in Bengaluru. The findings reveal that organizational culture has a significant and positive influence on employees' overall well-being, confirming that factors such as leadership style, communication openness, teamwork, trust, and recognition play crucial roles in determining how employees perceive their work environment and manage their mental and emotional health.

The results showed that teamwork and leadership style were the strongest predictors of employee well-being, with high correlation values ($r = 0.759$ and $r = 0.742$ respectively). This indicates that employees feel more engaged and satisfied when they are part of a collaborative culture led by supportive and empathetic leaders. These findings align with the work of Schein (2010) and Harter et al. (2002), who emphasized that leadership-driven culture and effective communication enhance engagement and reduce workplace stress. Similarly, the significance of communication openness and trust mirrors the conclusions of Bakker and Demerouti (2007), who found that access to resources such as social support and feedback fosters psychological well-being.

Furthermore, the study supports the Job Demands–Resources (JD-R) model, which suggests that job resources—like supportive culture, recognition, and autonomy—help buffer job demands and improve well-being. The high mean scores for cultural factors such as teamwork (4.22) and leadership (4.18) reinforce that employees in supportive environments experience higher motivation and engagement. However, the study also observed that recognition and innovation climate, while positive, were relatively lower in influence. This partially contradicts studies by Krekel et al. (2019) and Maslach (2016), which emphasized the critical role of acknowledgment and continuous innovation in sustaining long-term employee satisfaction.

An important new insight from this research is that embedding well-being initiatives into the cultural framework of an organization is more effective than implementing isolated wellness programs. HR professionals interviewed confirmed that when well-being practices—like open dialogue, flexible policies, and recognition systems—become part of everyday organizational behaviour, they yield sustained improvements in morale and retention. This finding extends the work of the CIPD (2023) review, which highlighted the challenge of integrating culture and well-being policies effectively.

In terms of practical implications, the study underscores that organizations should treat employee well-being as a strategic cultural component rather than an HR initiative. Leadership training programs should focus on empathy, trust-building, and transparent communication. Organizations can also establish recognition frameworks that celebrate both performance and collaboration to foster belonging and psychological safety. For policymakers, the results highlight the importance of encouraging organizations to adopt employee-friendly cultures through incentives, workplace audits, and mental health programs. For society at large, promoting cultures that value employee well-being contributes to reduced stress-related health issues and greater economic productivity.

In conclusion, the study confirms that organizational culture plays a decisive role in shaping employee well-being. Cultures built on trust, openness, and collaboration not only enhance individual satisfaction and performance but also strengthen the organization's reputation and long-term sustainability. Future research may further explore the role of digital work culture and hybrid models in influencing well-being, as organizational norms continue to evolve in the post-pandemic era.

VI. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

The study set out to explore the role of organizational culture in supporting employee well-being, focusing on how shared values, leadership styles, and workplace practices shape employees' psychological and emotional experiences. The findings clearly demonstrate that a positive organizational culture significantly enhances employee well-being, motivation, and engagement. Key cultural factors such as effective leadership, open communication, teamwork, trust, and recognition were found to have a strong and positive relationship with employee well-being. Among these, teamwork and leadership style emerged as the most influential predictors, indicating that collaborative environments led by empathetic and transparent leaders foster greater job satisfaction and lower stress levels. The results also

revealed that organizations that embed well-being into their cultural practices experience higher productivity and reduced turnover, emphasizing that well-being should be an integral part of an organization's identity rather than a stand-alone HR initiative.

Despite its valuable insights, the study has certain limitations. The sample size was limited to 120 respondents from selected organizations in Bengaluru, which may restrict the generalizability of the findings. The cross-sectional nature of the research also limits the ability to establish long-term cause-and-effect relationships between culture and well-being. Additionally, the study primarily relied on self-reported data, which might be influenced by respondents' perceptions or biases.

Based on the findings, several recommendations are proposed. Organizations should invest in leadership development programs that emphasize emotional intelligence, trust-building, and inclusive communication. Management should encourage participative decision-making, recognize employee contributions regularly, and foster teamwork to build a culture of belonging. Establishing clear well-being policies—such as flexible work arrangements, mental health support, and open feedback systems—can further enhance employee morale and reduce burnout. HR departments should continuously assess cultural alignment with employee well-being through surveys and feedback mechanisms. For future research, longitudinal studies can be conducted to analyse how cultural transformation impacts well-being over time. Comparative studies across different industries and regions could provide deeper insights into sector-specific cultural influences. Researchers could also explore the emerging impact of hybrid work culture, digital transformation, and generational diversity on organizational culture and employee well-being in the post-pandemic workplace.

REFERENCES

1. Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The Job Demands–Resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 22(3), 309–328.
2. CIPD. (2023). *Organisational culture and performance: Scientific summary*. Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development.
3. Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., Nachreiner, F., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2001). The Job Demands–Resources model of burnout. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(3), 499–512.
4. Farzianpour, F., et al. (2016). The relationship between Hofstede's cultural dimensions and burnout in organizational settings. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 6(5), 125–138.
5. Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., & Keyes, C. L. M. (2002). Well-being in the workplace and its relationship to business outcomes: A review of the Gallup studies. American Psychological Association.
6. Hofstede, G. (2011). Dimensionalizing cultures: The Hofstede model in context. *Online Readings in Psychology and Culture*, 2(1), 1–26.
7. Jo, Y., Kim, H., & Park, S. (2023). Testing the Job Demands–Resources model: The mediating role of psychological well-being in employee performance. *Journal of Organizational Behaviour Studies*, 15(2), 44–59.
8. Krekel, A., Ward, G., & De Neve, J.-E. (2019). *Employee well-being, productivity, and firm performance*. Saïd Business School Working Paper, University of Oxford.
9. Maslach, C. (1981). *Burnout: The cost of caring*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
10. Maslach, C. (2016). Understanding the burnout experience: Recent research and its implications for psychiatry. *World Psychiatry*, 15(2), 103–111.
11. Poghosyan, L., Aiken, L. H., & Sloane, D. M. (2009). Factor structure of the Maslach Burnout Inventory: An analysis of samples from multiple countries. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 46(7), 894–902.
12. Schein, E. H. (2010). *Organizational culture and leadership* (4th ed.). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
13. Scholze, A. (2024). The Job Demands–Resources model as a theoretical lens for understanding organizational culture and well-being. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 33(1), 67–85.
14. Staffansson, F. (2014). The prominence of organizational culture in multinational branches: A qualitative study. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, 30(4), 450–462.
15. Various Authors. (2019–2020). Effect of organizational culture on employee well-being: Empirical evidence from recent surveys. *International Journal of Human Resource Studies*, 9(4), 100–120.



INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

 9940 572 462  6381 907 438  ijirset@gmail.com



www.ijirset.com

Scan to save the contact details